IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Inventors: Asif D. GANDHI, Marc B. IBANEZ, Lei SONG, Mathew THOMAS and Stanley VITEBSKY

Docket No.: 2925-0344P

Lucent Case No.: 117949/GANDHI 3-1-2-2-7

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CONTROLLING REVERSE LINK INTERFERENCE RISE AND POWER CONTROL INSTABILITY IN A WIRELESS SYSTEM

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

Date: October 6, 1999

Sir:

Enclosed are the following papers relating to the above-named application for patent:

Specification 18 sheets
Formal Drawings Four (4 sheets)
Assignment with Cover Sheet
Declaration and Power of Attorney

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

CLAIMS AS FILED				
	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA	RATE	CALCULATIONS
Total Claims	38-20	18	x $18. =	$324.00
Independent Claims	4 -3	1	x $78. =	$78.00
Multiple Dependent Claim(s), if applicable			x $260. =	0
BASIC FEE				$760.00
			TOTAL FEE	$1162.00

Please file the application and charge **Lucent Technologies Deposit Account No. 12-2325** the amount of $1,162.00, to cover the filing fee. Triplicate copies of this letter are enclosed. In the event of non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit **Deposit Account No. 12-2325** as required to correct the error.

Please address all correspondence to:

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone inquiries may be directed to the undersigned representative at (703) 205-8000.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By: #34,313

Raymond C. Stewart
Reg. No. 21,066

RCS/DRA:mpe

Attorney for Applicant

09410365 .100599

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CONTROLLING REVERSE LINK INTERFERENCE RISE AND POWER CONTROL INSTABILITY IN A WIRELESS SYSTEM

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to the field of wireless communications.

2. Description of Related Art

In a spread spectrum communication system, such as the Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) system specified in the IS-95 standard adopted by the U.S. Telecommunication Industry Association (TIA), a plurality of communication channels share the same radio frequency (RF) band, and are differentiated by unique codes. Each information signal to be transmitted is combined with an assigned code so that the signal appears as noise to a receiver which does not perform a corresponding de-spreading operation. Thus, in contrast to Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) and Frequency Division Multiple Access (FDMA) techniques, which provide service to a plurality of mobiles using a single radio frequency (RF) band by assigning different time slots to mobiles and subdividing an RF band into a plurality of sub-bands respectively, the number of mobiles that a single cell/sector of a CDMA system can support at one time is not fixed, and instead is generally limited only by the degradation of service quality caused by interference from a other mobiles in the same or adjacent cells/sectors.

To increase network capacity, CDMA system architectures utilize reverse link (mobile to base station) transit power control techniques to adaptively set the transmit power of each mobile being served to the minimum level needed to maintain adequate performance. Such power control techniques include two main operations: (1) reverse inner loop power control (RILPC) - in which power adjustment commands are generated based on a comparison of reverse link call quality (typically represented as the ratio of energy per bit, E_b, to interference, N_o) for each

mobile being served and a target quality value; and (2) reverse outer loop power control (ROLPC) - in which the target quality value for each served mobile is adjusted to maintain acceptable frame errors rates. More specifically, the base station continuously monitors reverse link E_b/N_o for each mobile being served and, in accordance with RILPC, generates either a power up-adjust or down-adjust command at predetermined intervals, typically every 1.25 milliseconds, depending on whether reverse link E_b/N_o is greater than a target E_b/N_o value assigned to the mobile (indicating acceptable call quality) or less than the target E_b/N_o value (indicating inadequate call quality). For ROLPC, the base station increases the target E_b/N_o for a corresponding mobile when a frame error is received (i.e., an erasure frame) to ensure an acceptable frame error rate for the corresponding mobile. If a non-erasure frame is received, the base station lowers the target E_b/N_o. This process of adjusting target E_b/N_o levels for each served mobile occurs once every frame, e.g., every 20 milliseconds, and attempts to maintain an acceptable erasure rate for served mobiles while constraining reverse link transmit power on a per call or individual mobile basis (i.e., in a distributed manner).

At certain load levels, the CDMA system may experience abrupt changes in power received at a base station, for example caused by a mobile which does not comply with transmit specifications or when a served mobile comes out of a fade. As another example, the base station will issue a large number of power up-adjust commands under extremely heavy loads, thereby resulting in a sharp increase in interference at the base station. Such a sharp increase in interference will lead to an even greater number of power up-adjust commands. Because many mobiles, particularly those at cell/sector boundaries, will not be able to transmit at the power level needed to overcome the resulting rise in interference, calls may be dropped if the situation persists. Because current reverse link power control techniques are designed to work on a per call or individual mobile basis in a distributed manner, without considering the impact on resulting overall system performance, current power control algorithms do not address the above-described situation.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is a system and a method for reverse link power control in a wireless communications network which, according to one embodiment, generates power adjust commands for mobiles being served by a base station in a system-based, or centralized, manner by considering overall system performance during power control, rather than solely considering the state of individual mobiles, when high interference conditions occur.

In one implementation, a power control processor of a wireless network base station adopts a modified RILPC algorithm upon detecting the onset of an increased interference condition. Such an increased interference condition may be detected, for example, by monitoring absolute and/or time-differential received signal strength indicator (RSSI) measurements, the ratio of power up-adjust commands generated during a time period to total power adjust commands generated over the time period, and/or decreasing call quality (e.g., decreasing E_b/N_o) for a large fraction of users. According to the modified RILPC algorithm, the power control processor converts a percentage of power up-adjust commands to power down-adjust commands to constrain interference at the base station and preserve overall service quality. More specifically, to prevent an abrupt increase in the number of power up-adjust commands when E_b/N_o measurements do not meet target levels, a percentage of the power up-adjust commands which would normally be issued by the base station are converted to power down-adjust commands, thereby forcing some mobiles to reduce transmit power, at least temporarily, to constrain interference. If the increased interference condition persists, the percentage of power up-adjust commands which are converted to power down-adjust commands may be changed incrementally.

Although this modified RILPC algorithm may lead to a temporary decrease in reverse link quality for some mobiles, base station coverage is maintained and overall quality is improved by constraining rises in interference levels seen at the base station. Thus, power control is performed in a system-based, or centralized, manner during an increased

interference condition by allowing call quality for individual mobiles to degrade so that overall system quality may be maintained. Furthermore, because power adjust commands are issued on a sub-frame basis (e.g., 16 power adjust commands per each 20 millisecond frame), converting a percentage of power up-adjust commands to power down-adjust commands will generally result in relatively few frame erasures. After the modified RILPC algorithm has constrained the interference rise, the power control processor returns to normal operation.

In another implementation, the power control processor adopts a modified ROLPC algorithm during an increased interference condition. More specifically, the power control processor adjusts target E_b/N_o levels in a system-based, or centralized, manner instead of solely on the error rates for individual mobiles so that only a limited number of target E_b/N_o levels are allowed to increase when frame erasures occur, and/or a reduced limit on how high target E_b/N_o levels for all or a group of served mobiles may be adjusted is imposed when an increased interference condition occurs. By preventing target E_b/N_o level increases, at least temporarily, when frame erasures occur, and/or imposing a reduced limit on how high target E_b/N_o levels for all or a group of served mobiles may be adjusted, a percentage of power up-adjust commands are avoided. Therefore, a similar effect to that achieved by the modified RILPC results. According to another implementation of the present invention, the modified RILPC algorithm is combined with the modified ROLPC algorithm to provide greater resistance to increased interference conditions.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other aspects and advantages of the present invention will become apparent upon reading the following detailed description, and upon reference to the drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a general block diagram of an exemplary base station transmitter/receiver suitable for implementing embodiments of the present invention;

Figure 2 is a flow diagram illustrating a reverse link power control algorithm executed by the base station transmitter/receiver according to embodiments of the present invention;

Figure 3 is a flow diagram illustrating steps for generating power adjust commands according to an embodiment of the present invention; and

Figure 4 is a flow diagram illustrating reverse outer loop power control in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention is a system and a method for reverse link power control in a wireless communications network which constrains abrupt interference rises and power control instability by adopting a system-based, or centralized, power control algorithm when an increased interference condition is detected, such that call quality for an individual mobile(s) is allowed to degrade so that overall system quality can be maintained. In one embodiment, the present invention is a power control processor of a wireless network base station, such as a CDMA base station, which adopts a modified RILPC and/or a modified ROLPC algorithm upon detecting the increased interference condition. An illustrative embodiment of a reverse link power control system and method according to the present invention is described below.

Referring to Figure 1, there is shown a general block diagram of a transmitter/receiver 20 of a base station 10 suitable for implementing embodiments of the present invention. As shown in Figure 1, the transmitter/receiver 20 of base station 10 includes a receiver/demodulator unit 22, a power control processor 24, and a transmitter/modulator unit 26. The receiver/demodulator unit 22 receives an RF signal, Rx, from a reception antenna 30 of the base station 10, and recovers data/voice traffic from Rx, for example using well known techniques such as band-pass filtering, low noise amplification, spread spectrum processing, frequency down-conversion, demodulation, and error correction to recover data/voice traffic from mobiles being served by the base station 10.

The transmitter/modulator 26 receives a plurality of baseband communication signals $input_1$..., $input_N$, including for example voice/data traffic and control information, e.g., pilot, paging, and synchronization signals, to be transmitted to mobiles being served by the base station 10. The transmitter/modulator unit 26 also receives power adjust command bits for each mobile being served from the power control processor 24, and generates an RF transmission signal, Tx, to be transmitted by a transmit antenna 40 of the base station 10, for example using well known techniques such as convolutional encoding, spread spectrum processing, and RF carrier signal modulation.

The power control processor 24 receives a plurality of measurements from the receiver/demodulator unit 22 which the power control processor 24 utilizes to generate power adjust commands for each mobile being served and to detect the onset of an increased interference condition, including E_b/N_o measurements and frame erasure information for each mobile being served and RSSI values. In accordance with an embodiment of the present invention, the power control process 24 utilizes a system-based, or centralized, power control algorithm when it detects an increased interference condition, whereby call quality for an individual mobile(s) is allowed to degrade so that overall system quality may be maintained.

The operation of the power control processor 24 for generating power adjust commands in accordance with the present invention will next be described with reference to the flow diagrams of Figures 2-4. It should be realized that the power control processor 24 may be realized as a general purpose computer which executes software for performing the operations detailed below or as dedicated hardware, such as dedicated logic circuitry.

Referring to Figure 2, the power control processor 24 initially sets both a time frame index value, t_f, and an interference condition time index, t_o, to 0 (Step 105). As described below, t_f is used to indicate when a frame period (e.g., 20 milliseconds) has expired, and, thus, when ROLPC should be performed. As also described below, t_o is used to indicate how long an increased interference condition has persisted, and, thus, when parameters

of the modified RILPC and/or modified ROLPC algorithms should be altered, or when an alternative remedy should be initiated.

Next, the power control processor 24 monitors base station interference levels (Step 110), and determines whether an increased interference condition exists (Step 115). In this way, the power control processor 24 recognizes the onset or continuation of an increased interference condition. The power control processor 24 may recognize an increased interference condition in various ways. For example, one approach is based on an absolute measure of reverse link interference, whereby total reverse link RSSI is compared with a threshold which is set to a level (e.g., approximately 6 dB or more) above a nominal noise floor. Another approach is based on a time-differential measure of reverse link interference, whereby average RSSI over a time window (e.g., 1 - 500 frames) is monitored and samples of average RSSSI are taken periodically to detect increases. If an increase of average RSSI exceeds a threshold (e.g., 6 dB - 12 dB), an increased interference is detected. Yet another approach is to monitor the ratio of the total number of power up-adjust commands over a time window (e.g., 1 - 20 frames) to the total number of power adjust commands (i.e., up-adjusts + down-adjusts) over the same time window. If the ratio is above a threshold (e.g., 0.7 or greater), an increased interference is detected. Yet another approach is to monitor any significant E_b/N_o reduction for a large percentage of active users over a specified period of time. One having ordinary skill in the art will readily recognize that other approaches may be utilized to detect the onset of an increased interference condition.

When the power control processor 24 determines at Step 115 that an increased interference condition does not exist, a conventional RILPC algorithm, e.g., as described in the "Background of the Invention" portion of this disclosure, is selected (Step 120), t_o is set equal to 0 (Step 121), and power up-adjust and power down-adjust commands are generated in the conventional manner (Step 130). When the power control processor 24 determines at Step 115 that an increased interference condition does exist, t_o is compared to a first time threshold, t_{L1} (Step 122), to indicate whether

the increased interference condition has persisted longer than t_{L1} (e.g., t_{L1} being 1 - 20 frames). When t_o is not greater than t_{L1}, the power control processor 24 adopts a modified RILPC algorithm (Step 124) so that power adjust commands are generated at Step 130 in a manner which takes into account overall performance instead of solely on an individual mobile basis, and increments t_o by 1 (Step 125).

Figure 3 illustrates the steps of a RILPC algorithm for generating power adjust commands at Step 130 according to one implementation of the present invention. After obtaining an E_b/N_o measurement (Step 132), the power control processor 24 compares E_b/N_o with a target E_b/N_o level (Step 134) to indicate whether reverse link call quality for the corresponding mobile is adequate. When E_b/N_o exceeds the target E_b/N_o level (indicating adequate call quality), the power control processor 24 generates a power down-adjust command (Step 136), and the algorithm proceeds to Step 174 illustrated in Figure 2. When, on the other hand, E_b/N_o is not greater than the target E_b/N_o level (indicating inadequate call quality), the power control processor 24 determines whether the modified RILPC algorithm is in effect (Step 138). If the modified RILPC algorithm is not in effect, the power control processor 24 generates a power up-adjust command (Step 140), and the algorithm proceeds to Step 174 illustrated in Figure 2. When the modified RILPC algorithm has been adopted, the power control processor 24 determines whether a power down-adjust command should be selected in place of a power up-adjust command, i.e., whether a power up-adjust command for a corresponding mobile should be "converted" to a power down-adjust command (Step 142). Such a determination may be based on statistical probabilities. For example, a percentage (e.g., initially 20%) of power up-adjust commands may be randomly converted to power down-adjust commands, and the probability of such a conversion may gradually increase based on the severity of the increased interference condition or on how long the increased interference condition has persisted until the conversion probability is 100%. Alternatively, the initial conversion probability may be set to 100%, and then gradually decreased as the

increased interference condition eases. In other words, the probability may dynamically change during the increased interference condition.

When the power control processor 24 determines at Step 142 that a power adjust command conversion should occur, a power down-adjust command is selected at Step 136, and the algorithm proceeds to Step 174 shown in Figure 2. On the other hand, when the power control processor 24 determines at Step 142 that no conversion should occur, the power control processor 24 generates a power-up adjust command at Step 140, and the algorithm proceeds to Step 174 shown in Figure 2.

Referring again to Figure 2, when t_o exceeds t_{L1}, the power control processor 24 determines whether t_o is greater than a second time threshold, t_{L2} (Step 160). When t_o exceeds t_{L2}, this indicates that the modified power control techniques are not adequately constraining the increased interference condition, thereby indicating that an alternative remedy should be initiated (Step 170). For example, the power control processor 24 may initiate a handdown operation in which a mobile(s) is instructed to switch from digital service to analog service (assuming a dual mode network which provides both digital and analog service), or switch to a different transmit/receive frequency channel (assuming such an alternative frequency channel is available to the base station). After the alternative remedy has achieved a normal interference condition, initialization is again performed at Step 105.

When t_o does not exceed t_{L2}, signifying that the increased interference condition has persisted, but not the point where an alternative remedy is required, the power control processor 24 modifies parameters of the power control algorithm. For example, the probability for converting power up-adjust commands to power down-adjust commands at Step 142 may be increased or decreased each time t_o increases beyond t_{L1} as discussed above.

After power adjust commands are generated at Step 130, t_f is incremented by 1 (Step 174) and compared with a value t_{frame} to indicate whether a frame period has expired (Step 176). As discussed above, power adjust commands are generated on a sub-frame basis (e.g., 16 power adjust

commands per frame). In accordance with outer loop power control, however, target E_b/N_o targets are adjusted on a frame-by-frame basis. Therefore, when the power control processor 24 determines at Step 176 that t_f does not equal t_{frame}, the processing returns to Step 110 for generating a next power adjust command. On the other hand, when t_f equals t_{frame}, outer loop power control is performed (Step 180) to adjust target E_b/N_o levels.

Figure 4 is a flow diagram illustrating outer loop power control in accordance with one implementation of the present invention. Initially, the power control processor 24 determines whether a frame erasure has occurred (Step 182), and, if not, lowers the target E_b/N_o level for the corresponding mobile (184), resets t_f to 0 (Step 185), and returns to Step 110 to perform RILPC. When a frame erasure has occurred, however, the power control processor 24 recognizes whether the modified power control scheme is in effect (i.e., as indicated by the determination at Step 115). When the modified power control scheme is not in effect, the power control processor 24 increases the target E_b/N_o level for the corresponding mobile (Step 188), resets t_f to 0 (Step 185), and returns to Step 110 to perform RILPC. When the modified power control scheme is in effect, the power control processor 24 determines whether the target E_b/N_o level for the corresponding mobile should be allowed to increase (Step 190). For example, a probability may be assigned for allowing target E_b/N_o levels to increase such that, even when a frame erasure has occurred, target E_b/N_o levels may stay the same or actually be decreased (Step 192) instead of increased (Step 188). After maintaining or decreasing target E_b/N_o levels at Step 192, t_f is reset to 0 (Step 185), and the power control algorithm returns to Step 110 to perform RILPC. By maintaining or decreasing, instead of increasing, target E_b/N_o levels, even when frame erasers occur, the power control processor 24 will generate fewer power up-adjust commands during RILPC, thereby containing increases in interference.

When determining whether to allow an increase in a mobile's target E_b/N_o, the recent frame error history of the mobile may be considered such that, for example, an increase in a mobile's target E_b/N_o is allowed when

consecutive frame erasures for the corresponding mobile have occurred. Again, the procedure of Step 165 may be utilized to alter the probabilities of allowing an increase in target E_b/N_o levels depending on the difference between t_o and t_{L1}.

As an alternative, or in addition to, the modified ROLPC algorithm described above, the power control processor 24 may impose a reduced limit on how high target E_b/N_o levels for all or a group of served mobiles may be increased when an increased interference condition occurs.

Although the implementation described above with reference to the flow diagrams of Figures 2-4 relied on a combination of a modified RILPC algorithm and a modified ROLPC algorithm, it should be realized that one of the modified RILPC algorithm and the modified ROLPC algorithm may be used as an alternative implementation. Furthermore, another alternative implementation may utilize only one of the modified RILPC algorithm and the modified ROLPC algorithm at the outset of an increased interference condition, and utilize both the modified RILPC algorithm and the modified ROLPC algorithm when the increased interference condition is severe or persists longer than a time threshold. As yet another mechanism for controlling an increased interference condition, target frame error rates may be increased during an increased interference condition, and/or accelerated power down-adjust commands may be utilized.

By adopting a modified power control scheme, such as any one or a combination of multiple techniques described above, which operates in a centralized manner by taking overall performance into account when an increased interference condition has been detected, interference "runaway" is avoided, and reverse link coverage and overall service quality is maintained.

It should be apparent to this skill in the art that various modifications and applications of this invention are contemplated which may be realized without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for generating transmit power adjust commands in a wireless communications network comprising:

detecting interference conditions; and

generating power adjust commands in accordance with a system-based power control operation when said detecting step detects an increased interference condition.

2. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

returning to a normal power control operation after the increased interference condition has eased.

3. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

generating power adjust commands for the mobile in accordance with an individual mobile-based power control operation when said detecting step does not detect an increased interference condition.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein said system-based power control operation includes:

comparing a signal-to-interference measurement for the mobile with a target signal-to-interference level for the mobile;

generating a power down-adjust command when the signal-to-interference measurement for the mobile is greater than the target signal-to-interference level for the mobile; and

determining whether to generate a power down-adjust command when the signal-to-interference measurement for the mobile is less than the target signal-to-interference level for the mobile.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein said determining step determines whether to generate a power down-adjust command when the signal-to-interference measurement for the mobile is less than the target signal-to-interference level for the mobile based on a statistical probability.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein said system-based power control operation includes:

generating a power adjust command based on a comparison of a signal-to-interference measurement for the mobile and a target signal-to-interference level for the mobile;

judging whether an erasure frame has been received for the mobile; and

determining whether to adjust the target signal-to-interference level for the mobile when an erasure frame has been received for the mobile.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein said determining step determines whether to adjust the target signal-to-interference level for the mobile when an erasure frame has been received for the mobile based on a statistical probability.

8. The method of claim 4, wherein said system-based power control operation further includes:

judging whether an erasure frame has been received for the mobile; and

determining whether to adjust the target signal-to-interference level for the mobile when an erasure frame has been received for the mobile.

9. The method of claim 1, wherein said system-based power control operation further includes:

lowering a limit on how high target signal-to-interference levels may be increased during an outer loop power control operation.

10. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

determining whether an increased interference condition detected by said detecting step has persisted for a predetermined time period; and

adjusting a parameter of the system-based power control operation when said determining step indicates that an increased interference condition detected by said detecting step has persisted for the predetermined time period.

11. The method of claim 5, wherein the statistical probability is variable.

12. The method of claim 7, wherein the statistical probability is variable.

13. The method of claim 1, wherein said detecting step monitors changes in total reverse link signal strength at the base station.

14. The method of claim 1, wherein said detecting step monitors absolute total reverse link signal strength.

15. The method of claim 1, wherein said detecting step monitors a ratio of power up-adjust commands to total power adjust commands.

16. The method of claim 1, wherein said detecting step monitors signal-to-interference levels for a plurality of mobiles.

17. A power control system for generating transmit power adjust commands in a wireless communications network, comprising:

detection means for detecting interference conditions; and

generating means for generating power adjust commands in accordance with a system-based power control operation when said detection means detects an increased interference condition.

18. The power control system of claim 17, wherein said generating means returns to a normal power control operation after the increased interference condition has eased.

19. The power control system of claim 17, wherein said generating means generates power adjust commands for the mobile in accordance with an individual mobile-based power control operation when said detection means does not detect an increased interference condition.

20. The power control system of claim 17, wherein said system-based power control operation includes:

comparing a signal-to-interference measurement for the mobile with a target signal-to-interference level for the mobile;

generating a power down-adjust command when the signal-to-interference measurement for the mobile is greater than the target signal-to-interference level for the mobile; and

determining whether to generate a power down-adjust command when the signal-to-interference measurement for the mobile is less than the target signal-to-interference level for the mobile.

21. The power control system of claim 20, wherein said power control system determines whether to generate a power down-adjust command when the signal-to-interference measurement for the mobile is less than the target signal-to-interference level for the mobile based on a statistical probability.

22. The power control system of claim 17, wherein said system-based power control operation includes:

generating a power adjust command based on a comparison of a signal-to-interference measurement for the mobile and a target signal-to-interference level for the mobile;

judging whether an erasure frame has been received for the mobile; and

determining whether to adjust the target signal-to-interference level for the mobile when an erasure frame has been received for the mobile.

23. The power control system of claim 22, wherein said power control system determines whether to adjust the target signal-to-interference level for the mobile when an erasure frame has been received for the mobile based on a statistical probability.

24. The power control system of claim 20, wherein said system-based power control operation further includes:

judging whether an erasure frame has been received for the mobile; and

determining whether to adjust the target signal-to-interference level for the mobile when an erasure frame has been received for the mobile.

25. The power control system of claim 17, wherein said system-based power control operation further includes:

lowering a limit on how high target signal-to-interference levels may be increased during an outer loop power control operation.

26. The power control system of claim 17, wherein said detection means determines whether an increased interference condition has persisted for a predetermined time period, and adjusts a parameter of the system-based power control operation when an increased interference condition detected has persisted for the predetermined time period.

27. The power control system of 21, wherein the statistical probability is variable.

28. The power control system of claim 23, wherein the statistical probability is variable.

29. The power control system of claim 17, wherein said detection means monitors changes in total reverse link signal strength.

30. The power control system of claim 17, wherein said detection means monitors a ratio of power up-adjust commands to power down-adjust commands.

31. The power control system of claim 17, wherein said detection means monitors signal-to-interference levels for a plurality of mobiles.

32. The power control system of claim 17, wherein said detection means monitors total reverse link signal strength.

33. A method for generating transmit power adjust commands in a wireless communications network comprising:

detecting interference conditions;

selecting a first power control scheme when said detecting step does not detect an increased interference condition;

selecting a second power control scheme when said detecting step detects an increased interference condition; and

generating power adjust commands based on the selected power control scheme.

34. The method of claim 33, wherein the second power control scheme is a modified reverse inner loop power control scheme.

35. The method of claim 33, wherein the second power control scheme is a modified reverse outer loop power control scheme.

36. A power control system for generating power adjust commands in a wireless communications network, comprising:

detection means for detecting interference conditions;

selecting means for selecting a first power control scheme when said detection means does not detect an increased interference condition and selecting a second power control scheme when said detection means detects an increased interference condition; and

generating means for generating power adjust commands based on the power control scheme selected by said selecting means.

37. The power control system of claim 36, wherein the second power control scheme is a modified reverse inner loop power control scheme.

38. The power control system of claim 36, wherein the second power control scheme is a modified reverse outer loop power control scheme.

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A system and a method for reverse link power control in a wireless communications network generates power adjust commands for mobiles being served by a network base station in a centralized manner by considering overall system performance when an increased interference condition is detected. In one implementation, a base station power control processor adopts a modified reverse inner loop power control (RILPC) and/or a reverse outer loop power control (ROLPC) algorithm when an increased interference condition is detected. According to the modified RILPC algorithm, a percentage of power-up adjust commands which would normally be generated when E_b/N_o measurements for served mobiles do not meet target E_b/N_o levels are converted to power down-adjust commands, thereby forcing some mobiles to reduce transmit power, at least temporarily, to constrain interference. When the increased interference condition persists, the percentage of power-up adjust commands which are converted to power-down commands may be changed. According to the modified ROLPC algorithm, the power control processor adjusts target E_b/N_o levels in a centralized manner based on an overall system state so that only a limited number of target E_b/N_o levels are allowed to increase when frame erasures occur. By preventing a percentage of target E_b/N_o level increases, at least temporarily, when frame erasures occur, a percentage of power up-adjust commands are avoided. Therefore, a similar effect to that achieved by the modified RILPC is achieved. In accordance with still a further implementation of the present invention, the modified RILPC algorithm may be used in combination with the modified ROLPC algorithm to provide greater resistance to increased interference conditions.

FIG. 1


10
30
Rx Antenna
Rx
Receiver/ demodulator
22
Output data/ Voice
Power Control Processor
24
Tx Antenna
40
Tx
Transmitter/ Modulator
26
Input 1
Input N
20

FIG. 2


105
INITIALIZE: $t_f=\emptyset$; $t_o=\emptyset$
MONITOR INTERFERENCE at Base Station
110
Increased Interference Condition?
115
SELECT STANDARD POWER CONTROL SCHEME
120
Y
$t_o=\emptyset$
121
$t_o > t_{L1}$?
122
Y
N
ADOPT MODIFIED POWER CONTROL SCHEME
124
$t_o = t_o + 1$
125
POWER ADJUST COMMANDS
130
$t_o > t_{L2}$?
160
Y
N
ALTER PARAMETERS OF MODIFIED POWER CONTROL SCHEME
165
INITIATE ALTERNATIVE REMEDY
170
$t_f = t_f + 1$
S174
$t_f = t_{frame}$?
176
Y
Perform Outer Loop Power Control
180
N
To 110

FIG. 3


Receive E_b/N_o Measurement
132
134
Is E_b/N_o > Target E_b/N_o?
Y
Select Power-down Command
136
N
To 174
138
Is Modified Power Control Scheme in Effect?
N
Select Power-up Command
140
Y
Should Power-Down Command Be Selected In Place of Power-Up Command?
N
142

FIG. 4



182
Has frame Erasure Occurred?
N
184
Lower Target Eb/No
185
tf = Ø
To 110
189
tf = Ø
Y
186
Is Modified Power Control Scheme in Effect?
N
Raise Target Eb/No
188
Y
Should An Increase of Target Eb/No Be Allowed?
Y
190
N
192
Maintain or lower target Eb/No

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Declaration and Power of Attorney

As the below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I/We believe I/We am/are the original, inventor(s) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **METHOD AND APARATUS FOR CONTROLLING REVERSE LINK INTERFERENCE RISE AND POWER CONTROL INSTABILITY IN A WIRELESS SYSTEM**, the specification of which *is attached hereto.*

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by an amendment, if any, specifically referred to in this oath or declaration.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

None

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

None

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute said application, to make alterations and amendments therein, to receive the patent, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Lester H. Birnbaum	(Reg. No. 25830)
Richard J. Botos	(Reg. No. 32016)
Jeffery J. Brosemer	(Reg. No. 36096)
Kenneth M. Brown	(Reg. No. 37590)
Donald P. Dinella	(Reg. No. 39961)
Guy Eriksen	(Reg. No. P-41736)
Martin I. Finston	(Reg. No. 31613)
James H. Fox	(Reg. No. 29379)
William S. Francos	(Reg. No. 38456)
Barry H. Freedman	(Reg. No. 26166)
Julio A. Garceran	(Reg. No. 37138)
Mony R. Ghose	(Reg. No. 38159)
Jimmy Goo	(Reg. No. 36528)
Anthony Grillo	(Reg. No. 36535)
Stephen M. Gurey	(Reg. No. 27336)
John M. Harman	(Reg. No. 38173)
Donald E. Hayes, Jr.	(Reg. No. 33245)
John W. Hayes	(Reg. No. 33900)
Michael B. Johannesen	(Reg. No. 35557)
Mark A. Kurisko	(Reg. No. 38944)
Irena Lager	(Reg. No. 39260)
Christopher N. Malvone	(Reg. No. 34866)
Scott W. McLellan	(Reg. No. 30776)
Martin G. Meder	(Reg. No. 34674)
Geraldine Monteleone	(Reg. No. 40097)
John C. Moran	(Reg. No. 30782)
Michael A. Morra	(Reg. No. 28975)
Gregory J. Murgia	(Reg. No. 41209)
Claude R. Narcisse	(Reg. No. 38979)
Joseph J. Opalach	(Reg. No. 36229)
Neil R. Ormos	(Reg. No. 35309)
Eugen E. Pacher	(Reg. No. 29964)
Jack R. Penrod	(Reg. No. 31864)
Daniel J. Piotrowski	(Reg. No. P-42079)
Gregory C. Ranieri	(Reg. No. 29695)
Scott J. Rittman	(Reg. No. 39010)
Eugene J. Rosenthal	(Reg. No. 36658)
Bruce S. Schneider	(Reg. No. 27949)
Ronald D. Slusky	(Reg. No. 26585)
David L. Smith	(Reg. No. 30592)
Patricia A. Verlangieri	(Reg. No. P-42201)

John P. Veschi	(Reg. No. 39058)
David Volejnicek	(Reg. No. 29355)
Charles L. Warren	(Reg. No. 27407)
Eli Weiss	(Reg. No. 17765)

I hereby appoint the attorney(s) on ATTACHMENT A as associate attorney(s) in the aforementioned application, with full power solely to prosecute said application, to make alterations and amendments therein, to receive the patent, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected with the prosecution of said application. No other powers are granted to such associate attorney(s) and such associate attorney(s) are specifically denied any power of substitution or revocation.

Full name of 1st joint inventor: Asif D. Gandhi

Inventor's signature Asif Gandhi Date 9/28/99

Residence: Summit, Union, New Jersey

Citizenship: India

Post Office Address: 10 Overlook Road, Apt. 5F, Summit , New Jersey 07901

Full name of 3rd joint inventor: Lei Song

Inventor's signature Lei Song Date 9/29/99

Residence: Woodbridge, Middlesex, New Jersey

Citizenship: China

Post Office Address: 63E Woodbridge Terrace, Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095

Full name of 4th joint inventor: Mathew Thomas

Inventor's signature Mathew Thomas Date SEPT. 28, 1999

Residence: Scotch Plains, Union, New Jersey

Citizenship: ~~USA~~ INDIA M.T.

Post Office Address: 185 Country Club Lane, Scotch Plains, New Jersey 07076

Full name of 5th joint inventor: ~~Stanislav Vitebskiy~~ STANLEY VITEBSKY S.V.

Inventor's signature Stanley Vitebsky Date 9/28/1999

Residence: Parsippany, Morris, New Jersey

Citizenship: ~~Ukraine~~ USA S.V.

Post Office Address: 124 Reservoir Road, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054

ATTACHMENT A

Attorney Name(s):		Reg. No.:	
	Raymond C. Stewart		21,066
	Joseph A. Kolasch		22,463
	James M. Slattery		28,380
	Donald J. Daley		34,313
	John A. Castellano		35,094

Telephone calls should be made to Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch, LLP at:

Phone No.: (703) 205-8000

Fax No.: (703) 205-8050

All written communications are to be addressed to:

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP,
P.O. BOX 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Declaration and Power of Attorney

As the below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I/We believe I/We am/are the original, inventor(s) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CONTROLLING REVERSE LINK INTERFERENCE RISE AND POWER CONTROL INSTABILITY IN A WIRELESS SYSTEM**, the specification of which *is attached hereto.*

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by an amendment, if any, specifically referred to in this oath or declaration.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

None

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

None

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false

statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute said application, to make alterations and amendments therein, to receive the patent, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Lester H. Birnbaum	(Reg. No. 25830)
Richard J. Botos	(Reg. No. 32016)
Jeffery J. Brosemer	(Reg. No. 36096)
Kenneth M. Brown	(Reg. No. 37590)
Donald P. Dinella	(Reg. No. 39961)
Guy Eriksen	(Reg. No. P-41736)
Martin I. Finston	(Reg. No. 31613)
James H. Fox	(Reg. No. 29379)
William S. Francos	(Reg. No. 38456)
Barry H. Freedman	(Reg. No. 26166)
Julio A. Garceran	(Reg. No. 37138)
Mony R. Ghose	(Reg. No. 38159)
Jimmy Goo	(Reg. No. 36528)
Anthony Grillo	(Reg. No. 36535)
Stephen M. Gurey	(Reg. No. 27336)
John M. Harman	(Reg. No. 38173)
Donald E. Hayes, Jr.	(Reg. No. 33245)
John W. Hayes	(Reg. No. 33900)
Michael B. Johannesen	(Reg. No. 35557)
Mark A. Kurisko	(Reg. No. 38944)
Irena Lager	(Reg. No. 39260)
Christopher N. Malvone	(Reg. No. 34866)
Scott W. McLellan	(Reg. No. 30776)
Martin G. Meder	(Reg. No. 34674)
Geraldine Monteleone	(Reg. No. 40097)
John C. Moran	(Reg. No. 30782)
Michael A. Morra	(Reg. No. 28975)
Gregory J. Murgia	(Reg. No. 41209)
Claude R. Narcisse	(Reg. No. 38979)
Joseph J. Opalach	(Reg. No. 36229)
Neil R. Ormos	(Reg. No. 35309)
Eugen E. Pacher	(Reg. No. 29964)
Jack R. Penrod	(Reg. No. 31864)
Daniel J. Piotrowski	(Reg. No. P-42079)
Gregory C. Ranieri	(Reg. No. 29695)
Scott J. Rittman	(Reg. No. 39010)
Eugene J. Rosenthal	(Reg. No. 36658)
Bruce S. Schneider	(Reg. No. 27949)
Ronald D. Slusky	(Reg. No. 26585)
David L. Smith	(Reg. No. 30592)
Patricia A. Verlangieri	(Reg. No. P-42201)

John P. Veschi	(Reg. No. 39058)
David Volejnicek	(Reg. No. 29355)
Charles L. Warren	(Reg. No. 27407)
Eli Weiss	(Reg. No. 17765)

I hereby appoint the attorney(s) on ATTACHMENT A as associate attorney(s) in the aforementioned application, with full power solely to prosecute said application, to make alterations and amendments therein, to receive the patent, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected with the prosecution of said application. No other powers are granted to such associate attorney(s) and such associate attorney(s) are specifically denied any power of substitution or revocation.

Full name of 1st joint inventor: Asif D. Gandhi

Inventor's
signature______________________________________Date__________

Residence: Summit, Union, New Jersey

Citizenship: India

Post Office Address: 10 Overlook Road, Apt. 5F, Summit , New Jersey 07901

Full name of 2nd joint inventor: Marc B. Ibanez

Inventor's
signature Marc Ibanez Date 10/4/99

Residence: Walnut Creek, Contra Costa, California

Citizenship: USA

Post Office Address: 118 Roble Road, #102, Walnunt Creek, California 94596

Full name of 3rd joint inventor: Lei Song

Inventor's
signature____Date____________

Residence: Woodbridge, Middlesex, New Jersey

Citizenship: China

Post Office Address: 63E Woodbridge Terrace, Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095

Full name of 4th joint inventor: Mathew Thomas

Inventor's
signature_______________________________________Date__________

Residence: Scotch Plains, Union, New Jersey

Citizenship: India

Post Office Address: 185 Country Club Lane, Scotch Plains, New Jersey 07076

Full name of 5th joint inventor: Stanislav Vitebskiy

Inventor's
signature____Date___________

Residence: Parsippany, Morris, New Jersey

Citizenship: Ukraine

Post Office Address: 124 Reservoir Road, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054

ATTACHMENT A

Attorney Name(s):	Raymond C. Stewart	Reg. No.:	21,066
	Joseph A. Kolasch		22,463
	James M. Slattery		28,380
	Donald J. Daley		34,313
	John A. Castellano		35,094

Telephone calls should be made to Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch, LLP at:

Phone No.: (703) 205-8000

Fax No.: (703) 205-8050

All written communications are to be addressed to:

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP,
P.O. BOX 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747